REAL ESTATELAW REVIEW

SEVENTH EDITION

Editor John Nevin

ELAWREVIEWS

REAL ESTATELAW REVIEW

Seventh Edition

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in March 2018 For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor John Nevin

LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS Thomas Lee, Joel Woods

> ACCOUNT MANAGERS Pere Aspinall, Sophie Emberson, Laura Lynas, Jack Bagnall

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE Rebecca Mogridge

> RESEARCHER Arthur Hunter

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Gavin Jordan

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR Claire Ancell

> SUBEDITOR Gina Mete

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Paul Howarth

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London 87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK © 2018 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of February 2018, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-912228-18-8

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

LAWREVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW THE MINING LAW REVIEW THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW THE INSOLVENCY REVIEW THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW THE SPORTS LAW REVIEW THE INVESTMENT TREATY ARBITRATION REVIEW THE GAMBLING LAW REVIEW THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ANTITRUST REVIEW THE REAL ESTATE M&A AND PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW THE SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS AND ACTIVISM REVIEW THE ISLAMIC FINANCE AND MARKETS LAW REVIEW THE ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE LAW REVIEW THE CONSUMER FINANCE LAW REVIEW THE INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS REVIEW THE CLASS ACTIONS LAW REVIEW THE TRANSFER PRICING LAW REVIEW THE BANKING LITIGATION LAW REVIEW THE HEALTHCARE LAW REVIEW THE PATENT LITIGATION LAW REVIEW THE THIRD PARTY LITIGATION FUNDING LAW REVIEW THE TRADEMARKS LAW REVIEW

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

AL TAMIMI & COMPANY

ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO

BELLWETHER GREEN

BERNITSAS LAW

BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

BIRD & BIRD

BONELLIEREDE

CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ

CORDATO PARTNERS LAWYERS, BUSINESS, PROPERTY AND TOURISM LAWYERS

DE PARDIEU BROCAS MAFFEI

DENTONS

DLA PIPER

ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA

HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS CIS LLP

IGLESIAS, POZAS Y PÁEZ

LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK

MAPLES AND CALDER

N. DOWUONA & COMPANY

NIEDERER KRAFT & FREY

NISHIMURA & ASAHI

ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO BARDEK, LISAC, MUŠEC, SKOKO D.O.O. IN COOPERATION WITH CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP PETRIKIĆ & PARTNERI AOD IN COOPERATION WITH CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ POPOVICI NIȚU STOICA & ASOCIAȚII SLAUGHTER AND MAY SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK TSMP LAW CORPORATION URÍA MENÉNDEZ WOLF THEISS

CONTENTS

PREFACE		vii
John Nevin		
Chapter 1	BREXIT AND REAL ESTATE	1
	John Nevin	
Chapter 2	ARGENTINA	4
	Pedro Nicholson and Delfina Calabró	
Chapter 3	AUSTRALIA	14
	Anthony J Cordato	
Chapter 4	AUSTRIA	
	Tibor Fabian and Markus Uitz	
Chapter 5	BELGIUM	
	Yves Delacroix and Alexandre Emond	
Chapter 6	BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	
	Indir Osmić and Ana Terzić	
Chapter 7	BULGARIA	
	Katerina Kraeva and Atanas Mihaylov	
Chapter 8	CAYMAN ISLANDS	65
	George Loutas	
Chapter 9	CHINA	74
	William Mo and Eric Liu	
Chapter 10	CROATIA	
	Ana-Marija Skoko and Tamara Jelić Kazić	

Chapter 11	DENMARK
	Torben Mauritzen
Chapter 12	ENGLAND AND WALES107
	John Nevin
Chapter 13	FRANCE120
	Pierre Gebarowski and Guillaume Rossignol
Chapter 14	GHANA
	NanaAma Botchway
Chapter 15	GREECE
	Nikos A Vouhiounis and Christina C Zakopoulou
Chapter 16	HONG KONG
	Dennis Li
Chapter 17	INDONESIA
	Ayik Candrawulan Gunadi and Rio Armando Girsang
Chapter 18	ITALY
	Alessandro Balp
Chapter 19	JAPAN
	Norio Maeda, Yasuo Asami and Keisuke Yonamine
Chapter 20	MEXICO
	Enrique Iglesias Elizondo, José G Pozas de la Vega and David Páez Gonzalez
Chapter 21	MONTENEGRO
	Mihajlo Matković and Tamara Samardžija
Chapter 22	NETHERLANDS
	Max van Drunen and Leen van der Marel
Chapter 23	POLAND
	Janusz Siekański and Radosław Waszkiewicz
Chapter 24	QATAR
	Nicola de Sylva

Chapter 25	ROMANIA Valentin Creața	257
Chapter 26	RUSSIA Sergey Kolobov	
Chapter 27	SCOTLAND	279
Chapter 28	SERBIA Marija Marošan and Đorđe Popović	
Chapter 29	SINGAPORE Jennifer Chia and Yvonne Lian	
Chapter 30	SLOVENIA Dunja Jandl, Ivan Kranjec and Vesna Tišler	
Chapter 31	SPAIN Diego Armero and Rodrigo Peruyero	
Chapter 32	SWEDEN Jan Berg and Carl-Magnus Uggla	
Chapter 33	SWITZERLAND Andreas F Vögeli and Oliver Zbinden	
Chapter 34	TAIWAN Yi-Jiun Su and Yi-Li Kuo	
Chapter 35	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES Iain Black and Joe Carroll	
Chapter 36	UNITED STATES Meredith J Kane	
Appendix 1 Appendix 2	ABOUT THE AUTHORS Contributing Law Firms' contact details	

PREFACE

I am delighted to introduce the seventh edition of *The Real Estate Law Review*. This edition extends to 35 jurisdictions, and we are delighted to welcome new contributions from distinguished practitioners from around the world. I am very grateful to each and every contributor for their hard work and essential role in the continued success of the *Review*. Each chapter provides an invaluable insight into key legal issues and market trends in the author's jurisdiction and, together, they offer an up-to-date synopsis of the global real estate market.

The *Review* seeks to identify distinctions in practice between the different jurisdictions by highlighting particular local issues. We believe that this offers investors and occupiers and their professional advisers an invaluable guide to real estate investment outside of their own back yard. The years since the first edition, back in 2012, have confirmed that real estate is a truly global industry. Overseas investors are increasingly prepared to look beyond traditional markets and sectors in order to exploit international opportunities as and when they arise. Often, investors need to act quickly and we hope that the *Review* provides an advantageous starting point to understanding cross-border transactions in the light of the reader's own domestic forum.

International economic and political instability continues to have a significant effect on the global real estate market. In the UK, Brexit generates uncertainty as the negotiations for leaving the EU continue. However, the continued attraction of UK real estate to overseas investors confirms that each event or development in a particular country must be seen in a global context to ascertain the bigger picture. It is no longer possible to ignore globalisation and view real estate markets in isolation. Brexit notwithstanding, the UK remains a safe haven for investors from around the world and this year has seen record levels of investment in central London from overseas buyers.

In addition to all the distinguished authors, I would like to thank the *Law Review* team for their tireless work in compiling this seventh edition of *The Real Estate Law Review*.

John Nevin

Slaughter and May London February 2018

ROMANIA

Valentin Creața¹

I INTRODUCTION TO THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

i Ownership of real estate

Based on the Roman law system, Romanian law distinguishes between rights *in rem*, as rights over or in connection with real estate, and rights *in personam*, as personal rights on performance of obligations.

The ownership over real estate is a right *in rem* and grants its holder the full right to use and dispose of the real estate. The other rights *in rem* (i.e., superficies, usufruct, easements, *usus* and *habitatio*) grant their holders only part of the attributions of the full ownership.

The superficies right is of particular importance to investors and, starting with the New Civil Code (which entered into force as of 1 October 2011),² enjoys unitary regulation. The superficies right entitles its owner to erect and to own a building on a third party's land. The owner of the building obtains the right of use on the land. The superficies right can be obtained for free or for a fee and is available for maximum of 99 years, with the possibility to be extended repeatedly. The ownership may be public or private. The public ownership belongs solely to public entities (i.e., Romanian State, counties or localities) and operates on real estate regulated by law as being publicly owned. The private ownership may belong to public or private entities and operates on any other real estate than that which is subject to public ownership.

There are several forms of private ownership: (1) exclusive ownership – granting the free use and disposal of the real estate to a single entity; (2) co-ownership by share quotas – real estate owned by more entities on ownership quotas, usually as pro-rata shares; and (3) joint ownership – real estate owned by more entities without determining their quotas (usually in wedlock).

A recent institution under Romanian law is the fiduciary agreement,³ which creates the possibility for any person to transfer rights (including ownership) to one or more fiduciaries (e.g., credit institutions, investment companies, management companies, insurance companies), to exercise full prerogatives of such rights, for a specific purpose and period (not exceeding 33 years), for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries (constitutor, fiduciary or third person).

¹ Valentin Creața is a partner at Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații. The author would like to thank the real estate development team, including Andreea Grosu, Luiza Zamura and Geanina Popescu, for its valuable support.

² Law No. 287/2009 on Civil Code.

³ The fiduciary institution is similar to trust under the Anglo-Saxon law system.

In Romania, there is no leasehold ownership. A lease is a right *in personam* and provides its holder only the personal right to use the property against the payment of rent during the contractual period.

ii Registration system

Romanian real estate is registered with the land registry operated by the National Agency for Cadastre and Land Registration. Land registry is publicly available and ownership information can be obtained by requesting a land book excerpt.

The land book excerpt consists of three parts: (1) real estate description (surface, location and use type; (2) ownership information (owner, title and relevant documents); and (3) encumbrances (e.g., mortgages, easements, interdictions and litigations).

The land registry is undergoing a process of modernisation, implementing an online system for accessing the necessary services. Currently, the land book excerpt may be obtained online and, in the future, all other services related to the ownership right (registration, dismemberment, consolidation, etc.) will be available online.

The registration of real estates with the land registry was given constitutive effect as of 1 October 2011. As a general rule, the rights *in rem* over real estate registered with the land book may only be transferred by registration with the land book, based on the valid title on the real estate. Likewise, rights *in rem* may be cancelled solely by removal from the land registry, based on their holder's written consent or a final judgment.

Such rule shall only be implemented after completing the cadastral measurement formalities within each territorial unit, envisaged for the years to come.

Until then, all formalities with the land book registry have only an opposable effect, so failure to register real estate operations with the land book registry does not affect their validity, but deprives the parties from legal protection against third parties who are not bound to observe such operations.

iii Choice of law

Real estate transactions have to observe certain rules to be effective in Romania, such as authentication of the transfer deed by notary public, registration with the land book registry, price payment in local currency (for legal persons) and fiscal certificate attached.

Generally, parties to a transaction on real estate in Romania are free to choose the law applicable to the contractual matters, to the extent that the chosen law does not prejudice the Romanian legal provisions that cannot be exempted. Should the parties fail to choose a governing law, the Romanian law (*lex rei sitae*) will apply.

The aforementioned also applies when the parties are citizens of a European Union Member State. $\!\!\!^4$

⁴ Regulation (EC) No. 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 on the law applicable to contractual obligations (Rome I).

II OVERVIEW OF REAL ESTATE ACTIVITY

After an exceptional year in 2016, with a headline growth of 4.6 per cent, the Romanian economy followed the same path in 2017 and in the first quarter registered the highest percentage growth in the European Union⁵ (private consumption remained the main driver of the growth).

The evolution of the real estate market is closely related to the economy. Consequently, during the first semester of 2017, approximately 30,000 square metres of modern shopping centres were delivered in the entire country. In Bucharest alone, the delivery of warehouse spaces stood at approximately 150,000 square metres and of office buildings at approximately 50,000 square metres.

In 2017, the retail market focused on two trends: retail parks in small cities and extension of existing spaces in large cities, Romania being one of the main destinations for international retailers to expand in Europe. 2016's goal of bringing new brands to Romania was maintained in 2017, with the main focus on the upper-middle income segment.

The office market remained very strong, with the Bucharest market registering leasing transactions of approximately 170,000 square metres in the first semester of 2017.⁶

During the first half of 2017, yields remained relatively unchanged from the previous year. The average transaction value doubled compared with the same period of 2016. Rent levels on the office and retail markets remained broadly unchanged, proving that the real estate market has reached a level of stability and maturity.

III FOREIGN INVESTMENT

As of 1 January 2014, all restrictions imposed by Romanian law on land ownership by foreign individuals and legal entities from EU Member States were removed. Moreover, land acquisition by non-EU nationals is permitted subject to reciprocity,⁷ according to international treaties; however, non-EU nationals cannot acquire ownership of Romanian land in more favourable conditions than EU citizens.

Buildings are not subject to such restrictions and can be freely owned by foreign individuals and entities, to the extent that the relevant ownership is not also attached to some land quota.

It is common practice for foreign individuals and entities to indirectly acquire and hold real estate through special vehicles in the form of legal entities under Romanian company law.

Foreign investors benefit from national favourable treatment, have access to all sectors of the economy⁸ and are granted important benefits, such as the full repatriation of capital and profits obtained in Romania, full protection against expropriation and nationalisation, and access to incentives and funds provided by EU and Romanian legislation.

⁵ Eurostat – flash estimate of GDP for the second quarter of 2017.

⁶ Report published by Colliers International Romania.

⁷ The reciprocity principle states that foreign nationals are subject to the same restrictions related to real estate acquisitions as applied to Romanian nationals by their own country.

⁸ As per the Doing Business Report published on national government website, the main investment opportunities and key sectors in Romania are: automotive and car component production, aerospace, wood industry, energy and renewable energy, IT&C, infrastructure, agriculture, food industry, pharmaceuticals and healthcare, chemicals, fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG), tourism, real estate and construction and natural resources.

In the context of land market liberalisation, a new regulation was enacted in April 2014⁹ providing a pre-emption right in favour of co-owners, lessees, neighbouring owners (irrespective of their nationality) and the Romanian state, in this order, at equal price and in equal conditions, in case of selling agricultural lands outside locality borders.

Romania supports investments implementation throughout state aid schemes, stimulating growth and sustainable development. In 2014, a new state aid package¹⁰ was adopted and it will be in force until 2020. Through this, Romania will benefit from approximately \notin 43 billion of European funds.

IV STRUCTURING THE INVESTMENT

The acquisition of Romanian real estate is usually structured either as an asset deal (by which the real estate is purchased directly) or as share deal (by the acquisition of the shares of a Romanian company owning the targeted properties).

i Asset deal

The asset deal investment may be implemented either by simple acquisition of assets or as transfer of business (ongoing concern transfer). In this later case, the acquisition includes not only the property, but also the business attached to respective asset (e.g., movable and immovable assets, agreements, employees, trademarks).

Through direct transfer of assets from the owner to the investor, the impact of the historical problems related to the title or to the vendor is diminished or even excluded.

This way, the acquirer:

- *a* does not take over the fiscal exposures of the current owner and the obligations from the agreements that are not transferred to the investor (outside the transfer of business);
- *b* secures a transfer of ownership in good faith and subject only to the land book registration rules;
- *c* may register higher expenses with the fiscal depreciation than the the vendor (as existing owner) and, to this end, the acquirer would register a reduced taxable profit and, implicitly, the income tax is lower; and
- *d* may maintain certain authorisations (attached to the transferred assets) that do not lose their validity (e.g., fire-fighting permits). On the other hand, the other authorisations (e.g., operating permits) have to be obtained again by the investor.

The taxes attached to asset deal investments are higher than for share deals. The registration tax and the notary public's fees for contract's authentication amount up to 1 per cent of the transaction price.

In case of business transfer, this percentage would apply to the entire transaction price stipulated under the authenticated agreement. The taxes may be lowered by splitting the transaction documents into (1) a framework agreement (non-authenticated) establishing the

⁹ Law No. 17/2014 on certain measures to regulate the sale and purchase of agricultural lands located outside the country.

¹⁰ Government Decision No. 334/2014 on state aid granting for investments stimulating the creation of minimum 10 new jobs (maximum scheme budget approximately €600 million) and Government Decision No. 807/2014 on state aid granting for investments of minimum 44 million leu in fixed assets (maximum scheme budget approximately €600 million).

structure of the investment; and, separately, (2) an authenticated agreement having as subject matter only the immovable assets, so the taxes are applied only to the price agreed for the immovable assets.

The existing guarantees over the transaction and the assets provided by the original contractors remain in the vendor's patrimony (except for the legal guarantees), and the acquirer shall benefit only the guarantees provided by the vendor under the sale-purchase agreement (eviction and defects).

If the vendor is only a project company, there is a risk that it will no longer conduct business after the assets' transfer and it will be dissolved. In this case, it is recommended to cover the risk through independent contractual guarantees provided by mother companies or by title insurance.

ii Share deal

The share deal consists of transferring the entity (usually a company) currently owning the real estate, by transferring the shares in the respective entity.

The most commonly used corporate structures are the joint stock company and the limited liability company:

- a The joint stock company is the most complex corporate structure, composed of a minimum of two shareholders, with a minimum share capital of €25,000, in Romanian lei equivalent, and complex management rules. This is the entity suited for the investors interested in capital-driven structures and the only type of company to be listed with the stock exchange.
- *b* The limited liability company is a more simple corporate structure, which may be incorporated even by a single shareholder, with a minimum value of the share capital of 200 lei and more simple management rules. It can have maximum of 50 shareholders.

In both corporate structures the company's obligations are guaranteed with the company's patrimony, and the shareholders are liable only to the limit of their contribution to the share capital.

Another possible investment structure for an asset deal or share deal is the joint venture agreement. The participants bring together funds, assets or both, as contribution for developing a project, the ownership on the assets remaining in the participants' patrimony. The participants establish their rights and obligations in the agreement and appoint one of them as manager, representing the joint venture.

The joint venture does not imply the incorporation of a separate entity by its partners, so all the obligations shall be guaranteed by the participants with their contributions and their patrimony.

Although the law requires that only the asset deals should be authenticated by the notary public so as to be valid, having an agreement concluded in authenticated form confers advantages on the investor when claiming the enforcement of contractual obligations.

In some cases, various formalities should be fulfilled for a valid transaction. For example, approvals for transferring special regime real estate (historical or archaeological monuments, protected areas), approvals from guarantors or persons holding specific rights on an asset (financial institutions, other creditors) and Competition Council clearance (if the transaction meets the Competition Law conditions on minimum turnover, change of control, etc.).

The investment structure should be carefully chosen, with particular attention to the ownership history (the remnants of the communist regime still affecting the ownership titles and the owners' rights and obligations), the current status of the asset, tax regime and, most importantly, the investors' profile, protection and expectations.

V REAL ESTATE OWNERSHIP

i Planning

Facilities may be developed on certain land provided that parameters established under the applicable territory planning and urbanism documentations are observed.

The urbanism documentation represents the outcome of land-plot planning (reflecting localities' organisation, constructible areas and building conditions) and provides general information regarding the possibilities to erect buildings on a specific land.¹¹

After confirmation that a building may be developed, the investor should get information on the land plot scope of use (economic regime) and on construction conditions (technical regime), based on the urbanism certificate.

The urbanism certificate also provides information on the approvals and permits necessary for a building permit. The urbanism certificate does not grant the right to build, this being established only by the building permit issued based on the formalities required under the urbanism certificate, the technical documentation of the building and the legal requirements.

ii Environment

Irrespective of having a facility under development or a standing investment, the owner (including contractors, agents, tenants, employees) is bound to observe the environmental regulations.

These apply to any type of pollution (noise, land, water and air pollution, waste disposal and evacuation) and are related to all operational permits necessary for the development or exploitation of all facilities (e.g., fire-fighting, operating, environmental, health and security work, civil protection).

A particularity for Romania (and in many other countries of the former Communist Bloc) is that many developments, especially brownfields, generate a false image of clean projects, while they may hide dangerous or prohibited materials that are covered by the evolution of the land plots or buildings and are difficult to detect. To this end, it is advisable that the investors retain specialised consultants for the environmental aspects of the development.

The real estate beneficiaries bear the liability for ensuring compliance with the environment protection laws, which, depending on the outcome of the breach, may be administrative, civil or criminal liability.

11 Law No. 350/2001 on land planning and urbanism.

iii Fire safety requirements

Certain constructions¹² (e.g., high and very high buildings, office and commercial buildings exceeding 600 square metres) are subject to fire safety authorisation. The authorisation has to be obtained prior to the commencement of any construction, and the person bound to obtain it is either the investor or the beneficiary of the investment.

The fire safety authorisation is issued after submitting technical documentation (containing fire safety scenarios, fire safety notices, evacuation plans, etc.) and is valid as long as the conditions met at its issuance are fulfilled and no changes are made to the building. The lack of authorisation triggers administrative fines, but in case of major breach of fire safety requirements (irrespective of the existence of the authorisation) the authorities may stop the building operation. Moreover, criminal liability may be a factor if a fire causes bodily injury or death.

iv Completion of the project

Romanian law regulates the reception of construction works, certifying the fulfilment of the construction works and the construction takeover by the investor.

The reception regulations were significantly amended in 2017,¹³ implementing new elements such as partial reception (which allows the building reception on execution stages, before its completion), new structure of the reception committee (mandatorily involving multiple local authorities), new force of the reception committee's decision (which is now compulsory for the investor) and simplification of the reception options (the reception may only be admitted or rejected).

v Tax

All asset owners owe local taxes on land and buildings. Exemptions may be available if the buildings serve a public or social interest.

The land tax is determined on: (1) surface; (2) location (e.g., inside or outside the locality's borders); and (3) use category (e.g., constructions, agricultural), as all such are established by the local authorities.

As regards the buildings, the tax is determined depending on the building category, namely 0.08–0.2 per cent of the fiscal value of the residential buildings, 0.2–1.3 per cent on non-residential buildings and 0.4 per cent on agricultural buildings. The fiscal value of the buildings has to be updated every three years by valuation, for buildings that have not been acquired during this period. Otherwise, the applicable quota of local tax is increased to 5 per cent.

In case of transfers, taxes vary depending on the transaction structure. In a share deal, the stake seller owes the corporate or personal income tax, which is of 16 per cent¹⁴ of the capital gain (reduced to zero if the shareholder is a company owning more than 10 per cent

¹² Government Decision No. 571/2016 for approval of the categories of buildings and fittings out subject to fire safety approval or authorisation.

¹³ Government Decision No. 343/2017 regarding the approval of the regulation for the reception of construction works and related installations.

¹⁴ The microenterprise taxation regime applicable for certain companies has not been considered.

of the shares for minimum one year, subject to additional conditions). For foreign nationals, additional benefits may be available under the conventions for the avoidance of double taxation.

In asset deals, 16 per cent corporate income tax¹⁵ applies on the profit, except for the case of natural persons, for which the tax varies between 1 per cent and 3 per cent of the price.

A reverse taxation mechanism is applicable to constructions and lands whose transfer is subject to VAT, which applies if both seller and buyer are registered for VAT purposes in Romania. Otherwise, the normal VAT system applies.

If the land is not for construction purposes, the transfer is VAT exempt (with no deduction right). VAT exemptions also apply to transfer of buildings, except for the new buildings.

For all real-estate transactions where an exemption applies, the seller can opt to levy VAT, based on prior notification of the tax authorities.

vi Finance and security

The acquisition of real estate either through asset deals or share deals is most commonly financed by banks. The foremost securities are the mortgages, which may be established over immovable assets, owner's shares and certain present and future rights attached to the property (e.g., rent, indemnities, bank accounts).

The mortgages established over the immovable assets are valid if the relevant agreement is concluded in authenticated form before the public notary and become effective as of their registration with the land book registry.

Regularly, such mortgages that totally or partially encumber the real estate go along with interdictions to transfer the asset, to build or to implement any other operation that might affect the property (e.g., partitioning, consolidation, lease, easements).

The mortgage agreement validly concluded and registered with the land book registry or the Electronic Archive for Security Interests in Movable Property represents enforceable title. Thus, the enforcement procedure of the corresponding security is simplified and the court intervention for establishing the enforceability of a mortgage is not necessary.

VI LEASES OF BUSINESS PREMISES

i Features of lease agreements

The lease of all premises is governed by the New Civil Code.

The lease agreement signed by the parties and registered with the fiscal authorities or authenticated by a notary public represents enforceable title as regards: (1) the payment of rent; and (2) the obligation to return the premises (upon the term expiry or following the expiry of a notice period), respectively the evacuation of the tenant. The landlord is, thus, exempted from additional formalities and expenses and may initiate directly the enforcement procedure for failure by the tenant to observe such obligations.

ii Duration and the right of renewal

The lease period may be determined by the parties. Should the parties not provide the lease term, the lease is considered concluded for one year. In all cases, the lease agreement may be

¹⁵ A microenterprise taxation regime applicable for certain companies has not been considered.

concluded for a maximum of 49 years. The longer lease term shall be *de jure* reduced to 49 years. The lease agreement ceases on the expiry date of the lease term, when determined, or upon the request of any party through unilateral termination, with the observance of a notice period, in case the duration was not determined.

Romanian law provides the prolongation by tacit renewal: if the tenant continues to execute the lease agreement following the term expiry without any opposition of the landlord, a new lease is considered to be concluded, under the same conditions, including the guarantees. However, the new lease term shall be undetermined.

As standard practice, the parties include renewal provisions in the lease agreements, not leaving such aspects to fall under the legal standard renewal clause.

iii Repair works and related costs

As general rule, in the absence of an alternative parties' agreement, regular repairs resulting from normal wear and tear are the tenant's responsibility and repairs relating to the building's structure and common areas are the landlord's responsibility.

Insurance of the building is usually the landlord's responsibility, but a share of its value may be included in the service charges owed by the tenant. The tenant may be obligated to conclude certain insurances related to the rented space and its activity (e.g., civil liability insurance against third party claims, insurance against damage and loss of furniture, equipment).

iv Service charge

Usually, in addition to rent and other costs, a tenant of commercial premises (e.g., office, retail, logistic) may be obligated to pay service charges as part of the aggregate expenditures incurred by the landlord in respect of all the expenses regarding the building (e.g., utilities for common areas, managements fees, insurance premiums).

v Subletting and assignment of rights

According to the New Civil Code, the tenant is entitled to sublease the premises or to assign its rights on the premises, if that is not expressly forbidden in the agreement.

Similarly, unless otherwise stipulated in the agreement, the lessor is entitled to assign its rights from the lease agreement without the consent of the tenant.

vi The rent

The rent may be freely established by the parties, either globally or fixed on time units. No rent control exists in the private sector. Should the parties fail to establish the payment terms, it shall be paid: (1) in advance, if the lease term is under one month; (2) on the first business day of each month, if the lease term is between one month and one year; or (3) on the first business day of each quarter, if the lease term is at least one year.

The rent is generally calculated on the basis of the premises' area and is subject to VAT payment in accordance with Romanian tax legislation.

As standard practice for the lease of premises in commercial centres, the financial obligations of the tenant comprise: (1) minimum guaranteed rent established in $\notin/m2$; (2) turnover rent established as percentage for certain period (usually per year) of the net total turnover achieved by the tenant in connection with the premises, which is payable if

it is higher than the prepaid minimum guaranteed rent; and (3) operating costs including common operating costs, marketing costs and own operating costs (utilities), measured either by independent consumption metres or by engineered value.

Payments are usually designated in euros and payable in Romanian lei. Fixed rent is subject to annual indexation. In addition, parties may agree upon increase of the rent by using different calculation methods (e.g., average level of the rents in the commercial centre or collected from similar tenants, results of the commercial centre or of the tenant's activity in the premises or the economic evolution of the geographic area or the country).

vii Tenant's liability

The leases for commercial premises are usually executed in favour of the landlord (excepting the cases of anchor tenants, with a strong market position and negotiation power) and, therefore, all matters of the tenant's liability and financial obligations are strictly regulated under such agreements.

Regularly, the tenant is financial liable for early termination of the agreement (save for the termination by landlord's fault), being compelled to pay the rent and related costs calculated until the end of the lease period or until the premises are leased to a new tenant at similar rent level.

Usually, the tenant obtains at its own cost the permits and authorisations necessary for the premises' use and related renovation works. Such tenant's renovation works are usually not to be reimbursed to the tenant, except for the lease termination by landlord's fault.

Generally, the tenant assumes any risk of damage caused in or to the premises and is liable for the alteration and degradation of the premises, including in the case of fire, to the extent he or she cannot prove the force majeure or fortuity cases.

viii Security of tenure

There are no mandatory provisions regarding the guarantees the tenant must provide to the landlord in order to secure the tenure.

In practice, the tenant provides either a deposit or a letter of bank guarantee, valid throughout the lease period, for a value generally amounting to three months' rent and operating costs.

The parties may also agree for the tenant to provide a parent company guarantee (e.g., corporate guarantee, comfort letter), unconditionally securing the performance of the tenant's obligations.

VII DEVELOPMENTS IN PRACTICE

i The inflation rate

Against a rising annual inflation rate, the acceleration of annual dynamics of GDP and risks concerning the economic growth in the euro area and globally, the board of the National Bank of Romania has maintained a monetary policy rate at the same record low level of 1.75 per cent since 2015. Thus, Romanian leu-denominated loan interest rates are at historic levels that have generated increments of up to 61.4 per cent to Romanian leu loans.

ii Law No. 77/2016

One of the main changes that took place in 2016 was the entering into force of Law No. 77/2016 on the discharge of mortgage-backed debts through transfer of title over immovable property. This law grants the consumers in a non-performing loan under \in 250,000 the possibility to give back the residential property to the bank if they cannot return the loan, but only if the impossibility of repaying the loan is due to unpredictable causes (e.g., financial crisis, exchange rate).

Banks have been forced to revise their strategies in order to combat the possible negative effects of consumers deciding to benefit from the provisions of the Law. For example, the initial contribution of the borrower in a normal mortgage agreement has been increased from 15 per cent up to 25–35 per cent, depending on the bank.

As expected, since the Law took effect, about 7,000 requests have been registered to give properties to banks in order to close debts, out of which 4,000 have been disputed in court. This trend was diminished by a Constitutional Court Decision¹⁶ stating that not all non-performing loans are subject to such measure. In this regard, the applicability of the law has to be investigated from case to case. This decision has also had an impact on the banking conditions for granting a loan, because some of the banks have revised the conditions for the initial contribution mentioned above, again applying a limit of only 15 per cent.

Although banks have warned that Law No. 77/2016 will significantly affect real estate financing, recent statistics show that the value of real estate loans in Romanian leu granted by the banks in the first nine months of 2017 have increased by 0.7 billion lei¹⁷ compared to the value of loans granted in the same period of the past year.

iii The 'First Home' governmental programme

Launched in 2009, the 'First Home' governmental programme represented a very important financing source for residential acquisitions. Between 2009 and the end of September 2016, the financing granted with guarantees amounted to approximately 34 billion lei.¹⁸

Although it was a very effective measure during the economic recession, it seems that the programme is currently following a deceleration strategy.¹⁹ In this regard, in 2016, the programme benefited from a guarantee limit of 2.9 billion lei; in 2017 the figure was 2.5 billion lei; and a limit of 2 billion lei is expected in 2018. If the current strategy is maintained, it appears that the programme will have an increasingly smaller budget until it is taken off the market.

iv The new Fiscal Code

The real estate market continues to be subject to legal changes that might have significant consequences.

The Fiscal Code applicable since 1 January 2016 reduced the standard VAT rate from 24 per cent to 20 per cent for 2016 and, respectively, to 19 per cent for 2017. A previously announced reduction of VAT rate to 18 per cent from 2018 is no longer being applied.

¹⁶ Decision No. 623/2016 of the Constitutional Court.

¹⁷ Statistics performed by the National Bank of Romania.

¹⁸ Statistics presented by the Romanian government.

¹⁹ On 29 November 2016, the Romanian government signed the deceleration strategy of the 'First Home' Programme for 2017–2020.

A significant fiscal measure applicable from 2018 is the VAT split payment, which implies the operation of a separate bank account for VAT payment, in all transactions subject to VAT.

The measure will be applicable in 2018 to the public companies and companies that have contractual relations with the state and, if the system proves effective, the measure will be extended to all VAT-taxable persons in 2019.

Recently, the Romanian parliament initiated a procedure to change this measure, prior to its application, so as to maintain the VAT split payment solely for: (1) companies under insolvency or insolvency prevention procedures; or (2) companies with VAT debts older than 60 days and exceeding certain value thresholds. Such amendments have been enacted and are applicable as of 1 January 2018.

v Other relevant aspects

A new package of laws on public procurement was adopted in 2016, initiating changes aimed to simplify the process and to create better communication between the public and private sector and more transparency.

The national strategy²⁰ for public procurement contains the obligation to implement FIDIC standards within the public procurement agreements in order to unify the contractual arrangements, but it has recently been announced that such agreements may differ from FIDIC standards, so we may expect some changes in this field.

VIII OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS

Romania was predicted to have the highest economic growth in Europe in 2017 (together with Ireland), growing by around 5.5 per cent.²¹ Over the next two years, the economy is expected to grow slightly, with rates of 4.2 per cent in 2018 and 3.6 per cent in 2019.

Consequently, the economic grounds for business development are promising and Romanian real estate offers interesting opportunities in all business sectors.

The Romanian real estate market is still under reshaping process given the adoption of the New Civil Code, as the corresponding legal practice and case law are under a settlement process. The ownership reinstatement process triggered to cure the state's abusive actions under the communism period appears to be reaching its end, as the specific terms granted under the restitution laws for filing restitution claims are overdue.

Although the legal system may raise concerns about stability perspectives and the duration of court proceedings is rather long, significant steps have been made in fighting corruption, with Romania receiving positive assessments in this respect.

²⁰ The adoption of such strategy was assumed within the Romanian Partnership Agreement for the 2014– 2020 Programming Period.

²¹ International Monetary Fund latest World Economic Outlook, October 2017.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

VALENTIN CREAȚA

Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații

Valentin Creața is co-head of the real estate practice of Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații, leading the real estate development group.

Valentin Creața holds a degree in law from the University of Bucharest and is member of the Bucharest Bar.

Throughout his career, spanning the past 18 years, Valentin Creața has provided key advice to high-profile real estate investors and developers in relation to the major acquisition, construction and operation projects, including the largest shopping centres and residential projects, office buildings, logistic centres and industrial parks.

Valentin Creața represents clients in a wide range of corporate governance cases and complex commercial matters, leading the real estate transactions team into the largest transactions in the market.

He is highly experienced in structuring and implementing high-ranked real estate portfolios or assisting extended greenfield and brownfield developments.

According to international legal directories, 'the real estate team at Popovici Niţu Stoica & Asociații operates to "the highest professional standards" and "is deeply committed to their needs"; Valentin Creața is recommended'.

POPOVICI NIȚU STOICA & ASOCIAȚII

239 Calea Dorobantilor, 6th Floor 1st District 010567 Bucharest Romania Tel: +40 21 317 79 19 Fax: +40 21 317 85 00 valentin.creata@pnsa.ro www.pnsa.ro



ISBN 978-1-912228-18-8